

RESPONSE OF PIGEONPEA (*Cajanus cajan*) VARIETIES TO VARYING PLANT POPULATION DURING RABI IN SOUTHERN AGRO - CLIMATIC ZONE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

Y ASHOKAREDDY, R V SAGAR KUMAR REDDY, G PRABHAKARA REDDY & Y PUSHPAVATHI

Department of Agronomy, S V Agricultural College, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at S. V. Agricultural College wetland farm, Tirupati, to study the performance of pigeonpea varieties under varied plant population in Southern Agro-climatic Zone of Andhra Pradesh during rabi 2010. The treatments consisted of combination of two factors viz., four varieties (LRG-41, TRG-7, TRG-22 and ICPL-85063) and three plant populations (45x15cm-1, 48, 148 plants ha⁻¹, 60x15cm-1, 111, 11 plants ha⁻¹ and 75x15cm-88, 888 plants ha⁻¹). The variety ICPL-85063 at spacing of 45x15cm recorded the higher growth parameters, yield attributes and yield. The present study has revealed that the variety ICPL-85063 spaced at closer spacing of 45x15 cm having plant population of 1, 48, 148 plants ha⁻¹ resulted in higher seed yield and economic returns followed by TRG-22 and LRG-41 at plant population.

KEYWORDS: Pigeonpea, Plant population & Varieties

Received: Sep 21, 2016; **Accepted:** Oct 15, 2016; **Published:** Oct 19, 2016; **Paper Id.:** IJASRDEC201611

INTRODUCTION

In Andhra Pradesh pigeonpea is cultivated in more than 5 lakh hectares both during *kharif* and *rabi* season. Despite the uses of best varieties during *kharif* season, the average yield is 500 kg ha⁻¹ when compared to *rabi* season. Use of low inputs like use of less fertilizers, no proper weeding, lack of irrigation, minimal or no pesticides application attributes to lesser yields. Pigeonpea is cultivated in *kharif* season is more prone to pod borer and with a result, consistently yields are lower in *kharif* season. Greater attention is now being given to manage the crop because it is in high demand at remunerative prices. Since the area under post-rainy season crop is increasing, this led researchers to find out the plant population requirement for pigeonpea crop during *rabi* season.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept, replicated thrice. The treatments consisted of combination of two factors, four varieties (LRG-41, TRG-7, TRG-22 and ICPL-85063) and three plant populations (148, 148 plants ha⁻¹, 111, 11 plants ha⁻¹, 888, 88 plants ha⁻¹). The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture with pH 8.8 and 0.22% organic carbon. The available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were 186.4 kg ha⁻¹, 25.8 kg ha⁻¹ and 183.6 kg ha⁻¹ respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The highest number of pod bearing branches plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹, and pod length were recorded by the variety ICPL-85063 at higher plant population of 148, 148 plants ha⁻¹ while the

lowest yield attributes were recorded by the variety TRG-7 at plant population of 88888 plants ha⁻¹. Highest and lowest test weight was recorded by the varieties ICPL- 85063 and TRG-7 respectively. These results were in line with findings of Lakshminarayana (2003) and Siag *et al.* (1993).

Pigeonpea varieties and different plant population of differed significantly in seed yield and stalk yield. The highest seed and stalk yields were recorded by the variety ICPL-85063 at higher plant population of 148148 plants ha⁻¹ while the lowest seed and stalk yields were recorded by the variety TRG-7 at plant population of 88888 plants ha⁻¹. Among the interaction effects, ICPL-85063 variety recorded the highest seed yield and stalk yield at higher plant population of 148148 plants ha⁻¹ which was on par with TRG-22 at the same plant population. Similar findings were reported by Siag *et al.* (1993), Puste and Jana (1996), Srinivasan *et al.* (1997), Karle and Pawar (1998), Desai and Intwala (1999), Islam *et al.* (2008).

CONCLUSIONS

The present study has revealed that the variety ICPL-85063 having plant population of 148148 plants ha⁻¹ (at closer spacing- of 45x15cm) was best in realizing growth, yield and economic returns.

REFERENCES

1. Islam, S. Nanda, M.K. and Mukherjee, A.K. 2008. Effect of date of sowing and plant density on growth and yield of rabi pigeon pea. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 4 (1): 7-9.
2. Lakshminarayana, P. 2003. Response of rabi red gram to dates of sowing and row plant density. *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 24 (1): 187-189.
3. Mahajan JP, Dumbre AD and Bhingarde MT 1997 Effect of environment, fertilizers and plant density on seed yield and quality of pigeonpea. *Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University*. 22(2): 151-154.
4. Nagamani, G. Rao, P.G. and Rao, D.S.K. 1995. Response of pigeonpea cultivars to plant densities in post rainy season. *Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture University*, 20 (1): 125-126.

APPENDICES

Table 1: Yield Attributes and Yield of Pigeonpea Varieties as Influenced by Different Plant Populations

Treatment	No. of Pod Bearing Branches Plant-1	No. of Pods Branch-1	No. of Seeds Pod-1	Pod Length (cm)	Test Weight (g)	Seed Yield (kg ha-1)	Stalk yield (kg ha-1)
Varieties							
LRG-41	14.6	23.7	3.8	4.8	11.2	1496	4305
TRG-7	12.2	20.9	3.6	4.5	8.3	997	3969
TRG-22	16.4	24.8	3.9	5.1	10.6	1746	4905
ICPL-85063	17.4	26.8	4.0	5.1	12.5	2060	5789
SEM±	0.1	0.6	0.03	0.03	0.09	26.92	87.51
CD(P=0.05)	0.3	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	54	176
Spacings							
45x15cm (1,48,148 plants ha-1)	14.9	24.6	3.9	5.3	10.8	1859	5648
60x15cm (1,11,111 plants ha-1)	15.1	24.2	3.9	4.8	10.6	1493	4623
75x15cm (88,888 plants ha-1)	15.4	23.4	3.8	4.6	10.6	1276	3955

Table 1: Contd.,							
SEm±	0.1	0.5	0.03	0.03	0.08	23.31	75.79
CD(P=0.05)	0.3	1.1	0.1	0.1	NS	47	153
Interaction							
SEm±	0.2	1.0	0.05	0.06	0.15	46.62	152
CD(P=0.05)	0.6	2.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	94	305

